



GCSE
POLISH
8688/SF

Paper 2 Speaking Foundation

Mark scheme including Guidance for Role-plays

June 2024

Version: 1.0 Final



2 4 6 G 8 6 8 8 / S F / M S

Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' tests. Alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

No student should be disadvantaged on the basis of their gender identity and/or how they refer to the gender identity of others in their exam responses.

A consistent use of 'they/them' as a singular and pronouns beyond 'she/her' or 'he/him' will be credited in exam responses in line with existing mark scheme criteria.

Further copies of this mark scheme are available from aqa.org.uk

Copyright information

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools/colleges for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to schools/colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Copyright © 2024 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

Contents

Page	
4	Part One Mark Scheme
6	Section 1: Role-play
9	Section 2: Discussion of photo card
11	Section 3: General conversation
15	Appendix 1: Subject content (Themes)
16	Appendix 2: Speaking Score Sheet
17	Appendix 3: Symbols for annotating Speaking Score Sheet
18	Appendix 4: Marking of photo card – guidance notes
26	Appendix 5: Role-plays – General Principles
28	Appendix 6: Photo cards – Guidance on paraphrasing
29	Part Two Mark Scheme
29	Section 1: Role-plays – specific 2024 mark schemes

Speaking Tests – Foundation tier

Part One Mark Scheme

Principles of marking

The Speaking Tests are marked in accordance with the assessment criteria provided later in this document. No allowance can be made for poor teacher conduct of the tests. You can only assess what you hear.

If a candidate requires a prompt or explanation of any kind, including the provision of an item of obviously unknown vocabulary, this must be given clearly and audibly by the teacher-examiner without stopping the recording.

Level of response marking instructions

Level of response mark schemes are used in the Photo card and General conversation tasks. The criteria are broken down into levels, each of which has a descriptor. The descriptor for the level shows the average performance for that level.

Step 1 Determine a level

Start at the lowest level of the mark scheme and use it as a ladder to see whether the student performance meets the descriptor for that level. The descriptor for the level indicates the different qualities that might be seen in the student's performance for that level. If it meets the lowest level then go to the next one and decide if it meets this level, and so on, until you have a match between the level descriptor and the performance. With practice and familiarity, you will find that for better performances you will be able to quickly skip through the lower levels of the mark scheme.

When assigning a level, you should look at the overall quality of the performance and not look to pick holes where the student has not performed quite as well as the rest. If the performance covers different aspects of different levels of the mark scheme, you should use a 'best fit' approach for defining the level and then use the variability of the performance to help decide the mark within the level, ie if the response is predominantly level 3 with a small amount of level 4 material it would be placed in level 3 but be awarded a mark near the top of the level because of the level 4 content.

Step 2 Determine a mark

Once you have assigned a level, you need to decide on the mark. The exemplar materials used during standardisation will help. You can compare the student's performance with the examples marked by the Lead Examiner to determine if it is the same standard, better or worse than the examples. You can then use this information to allocate a mark for the performance.

You may well need to go back through the test as you apply the mark scheme to assure yourself that the level and the mark are appropriate.

The test as a whole

Each candidate’s speaking test consists of three parts, completed in this order:

Part 1 Role-play – 2 minutes approximately (15 marks)

Part 2 Discussion of photo card – 2 minutes at Foundation tier (15 marks)

Part 3 General conversation – 3–5 minutes at Foundation tier (30 marks)

Total marks at Foundation tier = 60

Nine role-plays and photo cards will be set at each tier in each series for French, German and Spanish and six for small entry languages.

Marks will be allocated in the following way at Foundation tier:

	Communication	Knowledge and use of language	Range and accuracy of language	Pronunciation and intonation	Spontaneity and fluency	Total
Role-play	10	5				15
Photo card	15					15
General Conversation	10		10	5	5	30
Total	35	5	10	5	5	60

Marks for each candidate must be entered clearly in black pen on the Speaking Score Sheet (see Appendix 2 on p16) and entered into MEP. All additions must be checked carefully to ensure they are correct both on the Speaking Score Sheet and in MEP. Addition errors can result in candidates receiving a wrong grade.

Section 1: Role-play

This part of the test consists of a role-play which has been prepared by the candidate in the preparation time. Each candidate completes one role-play at the tier for which they have been entered. The role-play is not timed.

There are five tasks for the role-play, each of which is awarded up to two marks for Communication. There is then an overall assessment of the candidate's Knowledge and use of language (KUL) in the role-play and up to five marks are available. The total number of marks for the role-play is 15 (10 + 5).

A target language script is provided for the teacher-examiner which must be adhered to. The only occasion when teacher-examiners are permitted to change the target language script is if a candidate's response makes the printed prompt inappropriate. An example of this would be if the candidate was asked what they did at the weekend and their response was that they were ill. So if the target language prompt in the script was 'super', this could justifiably be changed to 'oh dear'. If teacher-examiners give key vocabulary, candidates cannot be rewarded for it.

You must mark the role-plays in accordance with the assessment criteria given below and with Part 2 of the mark scheme. Part 2 of the mark scheme is specific to an examination series and gives examples of marks for possible utterances made by candidates in each of the role-plays. This guidance obviously cannot cover all possibilities and should be used in conjunction with the assessment criteria.

Assessment criteria for each role-play task

Mark	Communication
2	The message is conveyed without ambiguity.
1	The message is partially conveyed or conveyed with some ambiguity.
0	No part of the message is conveyed.

Notes

- Students who do not understand a question may show repair strategies* in seeking clarification. If they are then able to respond to the question successfully, they should be awarded the same mark as if they had understood it originally.
- Where students are required to give two responses or details in one task, failure to convey an unambiguous message in reply to one of them means that the message is partially conveyed and one mark is awarded.
- The tasks on the candidate's card and the notes in the Teacher's Booklet clearly explain how much detail the student is expected to give per task. However, some students may still go beyond the minimum requirement of the task. When this happens, as soon as the task is accomplished, any further incorrect information given by the student is ignored for assessment purposes, for both Communication and for Knowledge and use of language.

**'Repair strategies' include asking questions for clarification, requests for repetition, requests for definition or explanation, self-correction, etc in the target language. If the candidate asks for repetition in English, the teacher can repeat what has been said, but this has to be taken into account when awarding the mark for Knowledge and use of language.*

You should also note the following information.

Material shown in brackets in the Part 2 mark scheme does not need to be produced by the candidate. If it is produced incorrectly it may or may not affect the mark awarded, depending on its effect on the message conveyed. If produced it cannot be ignored. **All language produced by the candidate must be marked up to the point that the task is accomplished.** As soon as the task is completed, the two marks are given for Communication. Anything said in relation to the task after that point is ignored as far as the mark for Knowledge and use of language is concerned. If the candidate says something which is wrong followed by a correct response to the task, one mark is awarded for Communication and the incorrect part of the message is taken into account when deciding on a mark for Knowledge and use of language.

See these examples:

The task is 'Say what you did last night. Give **one** detail.'

Candidate A says: 'I went to the cinema and I'm going to the restaurant'. The task is complete as soon as the candidate says 'I went to the cinema.' Two marks are given for Communication and what follows is ignored.

Candidate B says: 'I'm going to the cinema and I went to the restaurant.' The first part of this utterance is in the wrong tense and, although the task is then completed correctly, some ambiguity arises. For this reason, one mark is awarded for Communication and the incorrect language is taken into account when giving the mark for Knowledge and use of language.

If the teacher-examiner supplies **key** vocabulary, whether requested or not by the candidate, no marks are awarded for that individual role-play task. If the task is a split response, no marks should be awarded for that part of the response but the rest of the response should be marked according to the criteria.

If a candidate uses the incorrect form of address as specified for the role-play, there is no automatic penalty and this should be taken into account when awarding the mark for Knowledge and use of language.

In any given task, once the student has said enough to warrant a mark of two for Communication, ignore any extra information for assessment purposes, for Communication and also for KUL.

You should award no marks where a teacher repeats a question after the candidate has given a **complete but incorrect** answer. However, if the candidate corrects an initial incorrect response without any intervention from the teacher-examiner, credit is given for the correct version. If the candidate starts to give an incorrect response (maybe because they are responding to the wrong task as they consult their notes), the teacher-examiner can interrupt by repeating exactly the prompt on the Teacher's role. If the candidate then gives a correct reply, two marks are awarded for Communication and the initial incorrect part-answer is ignored when awarding the mark for Knowledge and use of language.

It is acceptable for teachers to prompt the candidate to elicit a second detail and credit should be given without any penalty if the task is completed.

The candidate's answers may not always be produced in the order anticipated, but credit should be given if the tasks are completed over the role-play as a whole.

For the question task, any appropriate question is accepted, including the use of intonation.

For the question task, if the student does not ask the question after the teacher's initial prompt, it is fine for the teacher to prompt with something like *Jakieś pytanie?/Czy chcesz mnie o coś zapytać?*

For the question task, it is permissible for the student to ask a question by giving a statement using a verb followed by *A Ty/Pan/Pani?* For example, where the prompt on the candidate's card is *Basen: Lubię basen. A Ty?*

This way of asking a question will not suit all question tasks and the question must make sense for the award of two marks.

For extra guidance on the question task in the role-play, look at points 9, 10 and 11 of the General Principles for marking the role-play.

Knowledge and use of language for the role-play overall

Mark	Knowledge and use of language
5	Very good knowledge and use of language.
4	Good knowledge and use of language.
3	Reasonable knowledge and use of language.
2	Limited knowledge and use of language.
1	Poor knowledge and use of language.
0	No language produced is worthy of credit.

Additional guidance on application of the assessment criteria for Knowledge and use of language

The guidance below is provided to describe the key features of a performance scoring each particular mark at Foundation tier and should be applied on the basis of a 'best fit' approach.

Minor errors are errors which have no bearing on effective communication, such as incorrect adjectival agreement or gender.

For a student scoring five marks, the language used is generally accurate but there may still be the occasional minor error which has no effect on communication, such as incorrect adjectival agreement or gender. Verbs are handled effectively.

For a student scoring four marks, the language used is generally accurate. However, there may still be minor errors which have no effect on communication, such as incorrect adjectival agreement or gender, and/or a more serious error, such as a lapse in verb formation, which affects communication.

For a student scoring three marks, there are linguistic errors, some of a minor nature which have no effect on communication, and others which are more serious, such as lapses in verb formation or instances where the verb is omitted entirely. There may be a task which the student cannot complete because of a lack of linguistic knowledge.

For a student scoring two marks, although there is an ability to use a verb effectively, linguistic inaccuracy at times makes what is said ambiguous, inappropriate to the task or incomprehensible. There may be tasks which the student cannot attempt because of a lack of linguistic knowledge.

For a student scoring one mark, the language used is sufficient to convey a little information, but is generally ambiguous, inappropriate to the task or incomprehensible. There may be tasks which the student cannot attempt because of a lack of linguistic knowledge.

Section 2: Discussion of photo card

This part of the test consists of a photo card which has been prepared by the candidate in the preparation time. The teacher-examiner will ask five prescribed questions; three of these questions are printed on the candidate's card and will have been prepared during the preparation time. The remaining two questions are printed in the Teacher's Booklet.

Assessment criteria

The candidate's responses to the five questions are assessed for Communication only, according to the criteria below.

Level	Mark	Communication
5	13–15	The speaker replies to all questions clearly and develops most answers. He/she gives and explains an opinion.
4	10–12	The speaker replies to all or nearly all questions clearly and develops some answers. He/she gives and explains an opinion.
3	7–9	The speaker gives understandable replies to most questions and develops at least one answer. He/she gives an opinion.
2	4–6	The speaker gives understandable replies to most questions but they may be short and/or repetitive.
1	1–3	The speaker replies to some questions but the answers are likely to be short and/or repetitive.
0	0	Communication does not meet the standard required for Level 1 at this tier.

Notes

- (a) At least one question on each photo card asks students to give and explain an opinion.
- (b) Students who do not understand a question may show repair strategies* in seeking clarification. If they are then able to respond to the question successfully, they should be awarded the same mark as if they had understood it originally.

**'Repair strategies' include asking questions for clarification, requests for repetition, requests for definition or explanation, self-correction, etc in the target language. If the candidate asks for repetition in English, the teacher can repeat what has been said, but this has to be taken into account when awarding the mark.*

The photo card task must be timed. Timing begins as soon as the teacher-examiner begins to ask the first question 'What is there in the photo?'. The maximum time for Foundation tier is two minutes and marking will stop at this point. However, if the teacher-examiner has started to ask a question on two minutes, the candidate is allowed to complete their answer and this is eligible for credit. If the candidate is speaking at this point, they also are permitted to complete their answer and again this is eligible for credit.

Only the questions on the candidate card and in the Teacher's Booklet can be asked. No supplementary questions are permitted, even if the test falls short of the recommended maximum time or if candidates fail to develop enough answers to gain access to the higher mark bands in the assessment criteria.

Unlike in the role-play, in the photo card task a question may be paraphrased rather than simply repeated. A paraphrase must keep to the same meaning as the printed question. In all likelihood, this will be a vocabulary item for which there are two words in the target language and the one that is printed is the one with which candidates are least familiar. The teacher-examiner may then change that word for the more familiar one. In Spanish, an example would be *Internet* instead of *Red*; in French, *ami(e)* for *copain/copine*; in German *Job* for *Beruf*.

If there is no answer from the student when the teacher asks the question as it is printed on the card, it is fine to paraphrase. For example:

Jak spędzasz swój wolny czas?
(No reply)
Co robisz w wolnym czasie?

When responding to the first question ‘What is there in the photo?’, candidate responses must be rooted in the content of the photo. Merely to say ‘I like the photo’ without any justification would not constitute, on its own, an answer to the first question because it does not relate to the content of the photo. Remember candidates must only describe **what is in the photo**, not what isn’t. Conjecture is appropriate, eg ‘I think the weather is hot because the people are wearing shorts.’

If a question asks for an opinion and a reason and the student answers both parts, using a verb each time, this would be annotated as a circled Op plus a circled J. For example:

Co myślisz o sporcie? Dlaczego?
Lubię sport. Jest zabawny.

If a question asks if the student likes a particular thing and the reply is ‘Yes/no’ plus a reason, this would be annotated as Op with a circled J. For example:

Czy lubisz sport?
Tak, bo jest zabawny.

The following information relates to the number of questions answered by the candidate:

All	Defined as all five questions
Nearly all	Defined as four questions
Most	Defined as three questions or more
Some	Defined as two questions

A student who answers only one question can be awarded a mark in the 1–3 band, depending on the development of the response.

Any development of an answer has to be in the form of a clause, ie it must include a verb. It is expected that, for the top band, at least three (‘most’) answers will be developed by using at least three clauses.

- To score in the 13–15 band, a candidate must develop at least three (‘most’) replies, as well as answering all five questions clearly and giving and explaining an opinion.
- To score in the 10–12 band, a candidate must develop at least two (‘some’) replies, as well as answering at least four (‘nearly all’) questions clearly and giving and explaining an opinion.
- To score in the 7–9 band, a candidate must develop at least one reply, as well as answering at least three (‘most’) questions understandably and giving an opinion.
- If there is no development on any question, the maximum mark is 6.

Section 3: General conversation (Foundation Tier)

Each candidate takes part in a General conversation which is based on the two Themes **not** covered in the photo card, according to the sequence table in the Teacher's Booklet. Candidates are permitted to nominate the first Theme for discussion in the General conversation but they are not permitted to nominate topics or sub-topics. The choice of nominated Theme is an individual one for each candidate and you may come across centres where many candidates have nominated the same Theme, which is permissible.

For Topic 3 in Theme 1, any free-time activities are acceptable for the Speaking test, not just those listed in the subject content. There is no requirement for a teacher-examiner to cover more than one topic for each Theme. The choice of topic/sub-topic is at the discretion of the teacher-examiner conducting the test.

You must assess and award marks for each of the four categories separately – **Communication, Range and accuracy of language, Pronunciation and intonation, Spontaneity and fluency.**

At Foundation tier, the General conversation must last between three and five minutes. Timing of the first (nominated) theme begins as soon as the teacher asks the first question on that theme. As soon as the teacher asks the first question on the second theme, note the time on the Speaking Score Sheet. Leave your timer running and make a note of the total time when the teacher says 'End of test' or equivalent. Deduct the timing of the first theme from the total time of the conversation and this will be the time spent on the second theme. Note that time on the Speaking Score Sheet. This means that any change over time between the two themes is added on to the timing of the first theme.

The minimum amount of time per Theme at Foundation tier is one and a half minutes. If time spent on a Theme is less than this, then the maximum mark for Communication is the equivalent mark in the band below. For example, if a mark of 8 (level 4) would be awarded, this is reduced to a mark of 6 (level 3).

Penalties for the mark for Communication for short timing of a theme and for not asking a question are cumulative, but, where a penalty is applied, the minimum mark for Communication is 1 (a mark of 0 cannot be given). If coverage of both themes is short, the penalty is still only –2 marks. The maximum penalty overall, therefore, is –3 (–2 for short coverage of the themes and –1 for not asking a question). The mark for the other categories is not affected. For example, if the first theme at Foundation tier lasts for 1'20", the second theme for 1'15" and no question is asked, this is a penalty of –3 (–2 and –1). If you would have given the student a mark of 3+4+3+2, this will become a mark of 1+4+3+2. The penalty of –3 should mean a mark of 0 for Communication, but the minimum mark we can give is 1.

If a candidate only covers one Theme in the General conversation, the maximum mark is 5 out of 10 for Communication; the other criteria are not automatically affected. If a teacher-examiner does not adhere to the Teacher's Booklet Themes and speaks about the Photo Card Theme instead of the two other Themes, this is treated in the same way as a candidate only covering one Theme in the General conversation and the maximum mark for Communication would be 5 out of 10.

If the General conversation is too long, you should stop marking after the prescribed time (five minutes at Foundation tier). However, if the teacher-examiner has started to ask a question on five minutes, the candidate is allowed to complete the answer and this is eligible for credit.

There are references to 'narration' in the assessment criteria for Communication. Narration should be considered as extension of answers.

If a question asks if the student likes a particular thing and the reply is 'Yes/no' plus a reason, this would be annotated as Op with a circled J. For example:

- *Czy lubisz polskie jedzenie?*
- *Tak, jest pyszne.*

Level	Mark	Communication
5	9–10	A speaker who usually gives quite short responses but occasionally gives extended responses. Occasionally narrates events briefly when asked to do so. Usually gives clear information but lacks clarity from time to time. Gives opinions, some of which are explained.
4	7–8	A speaker who tends to give quite short responses, but with occasional attempts at longer responses. He/she has only limited success in narrating events. There may be a few occasions when he/she is unable to answer successfully or where responses are very unclear. Gives opinions.
3	5–6	A speaker who gives short responses. Attempts at longer responses or at narrating events require an effort of concentration to be understood and some responses may be unintelligible. Gives at least one opinion.
2	3–4	A speaker who is able only to give very short responses. Any attempts at slightly longer responses or at narrating events tend to be very unclear or even unintelligible. There may be occasions where the speaker is unable to respond.
1	1–2	A speaker who is able to communicate very little, either because most of the time he/she is unable to respond, and/or because most of what is said is unintelligible.
0	0	Communication does not meet the standard required for Level 1 at this tier.

Notes

It is a requirement for candidates to ask the teacher-examiner a question in the General conversation section of the speaking test. Candidates who do not fulfil this requirement will incur a deduction of 1 mark from their mark for Communication in this section. For example, a candidate who would have received 8 marks out of 10 for Communication had he/she asked a question, will actually receive a final mark of 7. There is no impact on the marks awarded for the other categories for the General conversation. If this penalty is applied, please indicate it on the Speaking Score Sheet.

The question asked by the candidate must relate broadly to one of the two Themes covered in the General conversation for that particular candidate. It is not essential to include a verb, if information can be elicited in another way. The example, therefore, of the candidate asking 'And you?' would be perfectly acceptable provided that it made sense in, and was appropriate to, the context of what else had just been said by the teacher-examiner or candidate.

A random question unrelated to the two Themes will therefore not be credited and the one-mark penalty for Communication will apply. A question prompted by the teacher-examiner is treated in exactly the same way as one which is asked by the candidate without prompting. Asking for a question to be repeated does not meet the requirement to ask a question.

A zero score for Communication means that the mark in the other three categories must also be zero but, apart from that, the Communication mark does not limit the marks in the other categories. For example, a mark of 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 is possible. However, a **very** short conversation will not have enough evidence to support a high mark for Range and Accuracy, for Spontaneity and Fluency or for Pronunciation and Intonation, even though the little that is said is very accurate and well pronounced. For example, a student at Foundation tier may answer just three or four questions with short phrases or sentences, and he/she gives no answer whatsoever to any other question. Even though what is said is accurate and well pronounced, there is little evidence to support a mark any higher than 1+1+1+1 = 4.

Level	Mark	Range and accuracy of language
5	9–10	Generally good language which involves mainly simple linguistic structures and vocabulary, with some repetition, but with attempts to use more complex linguistic structures and more varied vocabulary. There is some success in making reference to past and future, as well as present, events. Although there may be errors they do not generally impede comprehension.
4	7–8	Reasonable language which uses simple structures and vocabulary and may be repetitive at times. Any attempts to make reference to past or future events may have only limited success. There may be frequent errors, which may occasionally impede communication.
3	5–6	Basic language which uses simple structures and vocabulary and may often be repetitive. There is little or no success in making reference to past or future events. There are likely to be frequent errors, which sometimes impede communication.
2	3–4	Limited language which uses very simple structures and vocabulary and is likely to be repetitive. There is little or no success in making reference to past or future events. There are likely to be frequent errors which regularly impede communication.
1	1–2	Very poor language which may show little understanding of how the language works. There are likely to be errors in the vast majority of sentences, or there may be so little said that it is impossible to make a judgement.
0	0	The language does not meet the standard required for Level 1 at this tier.

In order to score in the 9–10 band for Range and accuracy of language at Foundation tier, a candidate must have had a recognisable attempt at all three time frames. For the 7–8 band at Foundation tier, there need only be one time frame successfully attempted.

In this specification, the criteria refer to **time frames** and not **tenses**, so if a candidate uses the perfect and imperfect tense, this counts as one time frame. Similarly, the use of the future and conditional tense counts as the same time frame.

A reference to a present, past or future event can only be credited if a verb is used in a tense that relates to that time period. It cannot be, for example, a response of *Tak* to the teacher's question *Czy będziesz grać w tenisa?* The verb for an utterance communicating a past or future time frame may be in the present tense in Polish. For example: *Mieszkam tutaj od ośmiu lat* (past time frame); *Jutro jadę do miasta* (future time frame).

Level	Mark	Pronunciation and intonation
5	5	Generally good but some inconsistency at times.
4	4	Pronunciation generally understandable with some intonation.
3	3	Pronunciation is understandable, with a little intonation, but comprehension is sometimes delayed.
2	2	Pronunciation very anglicised with almost no intonation, making comprehension difficult at times.
1	1	Pronunciation is only just understandable making comprehension difficult.
0	0	Pronunciation and intonation do not meet the standard required for Level 1 at this tier.

Level	Mark	Spontaneity and fluency
5	5	Generally good exchange in which the speaker shows some spontaneity, but also relies on pre-learnt responses. Sometimes hesitates and may not be able to respond to some questions.
4	4	Reasonable exchange in which the speaker shows a little spontaneity, but much of what is said involves pre-learnt responses. The flow is often broken by hesitation and delivery can be quite slow at times.
3	3	Basic exchange in which the speaker shows little or no spontaneity and relies heavily on pre-learnt responses. The flow is broken by hesitations, some of them long, and delivery is quite slow.
2	2	Limited exchange in which the speaker may show no spontaneity and all successful responses may be pre-learnt. Hesitates frequently, and often at length, before answering questions. Slow delivery means that the conversation lacks any flow.
1	1	Poor exchange in which the speaker hesitates at length before answering most questions, which makes the conversation very disjointed. Often cannot answer questions, while at other times there may be pre-learnt responses.
0	0	Spontaneity and fluency do not meet the standard required for Level 1 at this tier.

Notes

Students who do not understand a question may show repair strategies in seeking clarification. If they are then able to respond to the question successfully, this will not have a negative impact on the overall mark, unless it happens regularly and affects fluency. If, in any part of the test the teacher either asks a question or gives a prompt **in English**, you must ignore the student's reply.

Appendix 1 – Subject content (Themes)

Theme 1: Identity and culture

Topic 1: Me, my family and friends

- relationships with family and friends
- marriage/partnerships.

Topic 2: Technology in everyday life

- social media
- mobile technology.

Topic 3: Free-time activities

- music
- cinema and TV
- food and eating out
- sport.

Topic 4: Customs and festivals in target language-speaking countries/communities

Theme 2: Local, national, international and global areas of interest

Topic 1: Home, town, neighbourhood and region

Topic 2: Social issues

- charity/voluntary work
- healthy/unhealthy living.

Topic 3: Global issues

- the environment
- poverty/homelessness.

Topic 4: Travel and tourism

Theme 3: Current and future study and employment

Topic 1: My studies

Topic 2: Life at school/college

Topic 3: Education post-16

Topic 4: Jobs, career choices and ambitions

Appendix 2 – SPEAKING SCORE SHEET – GCSE Polish

Examiner name:

Centre Number						Candidate name and number
----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	----------------------------------

Role-play №:

Photo card Letter:

PC Theme №:

Task	Annotation	Mark
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

Comm +

KUL

Total:

Task	Communication	Time:
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

Total:

General conversation

Nominated Theme №:		Second Theme №:	
Time		Time	

Verb usage (up to 4 of each ✓)	
Present	
Past	
Future	

Other notes:

Comm /10	Range & Acc /10	Pron & Int /5	Spon & Flu /5	Total
Penalty deductions included in above mark for Comm (✓)		No Question -1	Short GC Theme -2	

Total mark for test

Appendix 3 – GCSE Polish Symbols for annotating Speaking Score Sheet
Role-play and General Conversation
NB For the Role-play, don't use these symbols: + S ^

General	
✓	A clear piece of information in the form of a phrase or sentence with a verb.
✓ ?	An understandable piece of information with some lack of clarity. There may be poor pronunciation or incorrect use of a verb, for example, but something can be understood from the response as a whole.
-	A clear piece of information with no verb.
+	An enhancement, eg a more complex structure or unusual vocabulary item.
S	A statement which lacks fluency but which is clearly a spontaneous response rather than mere hesitation through lack of linguistic capability.
A	A minor inaccuracy, eg incorrect gender/adjectival agreement, which does not affect communication.
Ⓐ	A more serious inaccuracy, usually a problem with a verb (wrong tense/person), which affects immediate understanding.
R	Repetition of information already given by the student.
X	No answer given.
W	A wrong answer to the question.
●	<i>Tak/nie</i> alone.
¿	A question asked by the student without a verb.
Ⓙ	A question asked by the student with a verb.
Opinions and Justifications	
Op	An opinion without a verb.
Ⓔ	An opinion with a verb.
J	Justification of an opinion without a verb.
Ⓙ	Justification of an opinion with a verb.
Delivery	
P	A minor mispronunciation, which doesn't hinder communication.
Ⓔ	A more serious mispronunciation which would make comprehension difficult.
^	A hesitation.
^^	A longer hesitation.
?	What is said is incomprehensible.

Appendix 4 – Marking of photo card – Guidance Notes

Symbols to be used:

General	
✓	A clear piece of information in the form of a phrase or sentence with a verb. This may be a conjugated verb or an appropriately used infinitive or gerund.
-	A clear piece of information with no verb.
R	Repetition of information already given by the student.
X	No answer given.
W	A wrong answer to the question.
●	<i>Tak/nie</i> alone.
? ✓	An understandable piece of information with some lack of clarity. There may be poor pronunciation or incorrect use of a verb, for example, but something can be understood from the response as a whole.
?	What is said is unclear or incomprehensible so the response is not credited. The message may be wrong, for example if the wrong person of the verb is used.
Opinions and Justifications	
Op	An opinion without a verb.
Ⓞ	An opinion with a verb. This may be a conjugated verb or an appropriately used infinitive or gerund.
J	Justification of an opinion without a verb.
Ⓜ	Justification of an opinion with a verb. This may be a conjugated verb or an appropriately used infinitive or gerund.

Unclear/incomprehensible language includes errors that affect communication, such as the wrong person or tense of a verb and very poor pronunciation which has a bearing on understanding.

Automatic access to the band if the minimum requirements are achieved:

Band	Requirements
13–15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • all 5 questions answered clearly • minimum 3 of ✓ in 3 answers • minimum 1 (Op) or Op • minimum 1 (J) or J • Note: (Op) or (J) can count instead of a ✓ (see Example 1, below)
10–12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • minimum 4 questions answered clearly • minimum 2 ✓s in 2 answers • minimum 1 (Op) or Op • minimum 1 (J) or J • Note: (Op) or (J) can count instead of a ✓
7–9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • minimum 3 questions answered which are understandable • minimum 2 ✓s in 1 answer • minimum 1 (Op) or Op • Note: (Op) or (J) can count instead of a ✓
4–6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • minimum 3 questions answered which are understandable
1–3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • only 1 or 2 questions answered which are understandable

If a response fulfils the minimum requirements for the band, a mark in that band must be awarded. If, outside of the information conveyed clearly, there is other language which is unclear, this will mean that the top mark in the band is unlikely to be awarded.

Example 1

Task	Communication
1	✓ ✓ ✓ - -
2	Op J ✓
3	✓ ✓ ✓
4	✓
5	✓

Photo card mark:

15

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 13–15 band.

- All questions are answered clearly (there are no ? or [?]✓ symbols).
- Three answers have three ✓s (with Op + J counting instead of ✓s on one occasion).
- There is one opinion.
- There is one justification.

Because everything is clear, 15 marks are given.

Example 2

Task	Communication
1	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
2	Op J ? [?] ✓
3	✓ - ✓ - ✓ ✓ ? [?] ✓
4	Op J Op J
5	✓ ✓ ✓ ?

Photo card mark:

13

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 13–15 band.

- All questions are answered clearly, but there are occasions where some other information is unclear or incomprehensible.
- More than three answers have three ✓s (with Op + J counting instead of ✓s).
- There is more than one opinion.
- There is more than one justification.

A mark in the 13–15 band has to be given, because the minimum requirements have been fulfilled. However, because of the lack of clarity on a number of occasions, the bottom mark in the band is awarded.

Example 3

Task	Communication
1	✓ ✓ ? ✓
2	✓ ✓ (Op) (J)
3	✓ ✓
4	✓ (Op) ? ✓
5	✓ ✓ ✓

Photo card mark:

12

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 10–12 band.

- At least four questions are answered. All of them contain clear information, although there are also two occasions where there is a lack of clarity.
- The requirements for development of answers are exceeded as there are three ✓s in two answers (with (Op) counting instead of a ✓ in the second answer).
- There is more than one opinion.
- There is one justification.

Although five questions contain clear information, a mark in the 13–15 band cannot be given as there are not three ticks in three answers. However, we can award a mark of 12 because the criteria for the 10–12 band are exceeded. For this band, four answers need to contain clear information, when in fact all five do. Two of the answers need to contain at least two clear clauses (shown by ✓s or (Op) or (J) when all five do. There are two occasions where there is some lack of clarity, but this is not enough to prevent a mark of 12.

Example 4

Task	Communication
1	✓ ✓ ✓ - -
2	X
3	(Op) (J) ✓
4	✓ ?
5	✓ ? ✓ ✓

Photo card mark:

11

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 10–12 band.

- Four questions are answered. All of them contain clear information, although there are also two occasions when what is said is incomprehensible.
- The requirements for development of answers are exceeded, with three of them being developed well with three ✓s (with (Op) + (J) counting instead of ✓s).
- There is one opinion.
- There is one justification.

As only four questions are answered clearly, the 13–15 band is out of reach. There are two occasions where the attempts at conveying information are unclear and so a mark in the middle of the 10–12 band is given.

Example 5

Task	Communication
1	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ -
2	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ -
3	Op
4	Op ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
5	Op Op ✓ ✓

Photo card mark:

9

There is good development of four of the answers and opinions are given. However, there is no justification of an opinion and so the minimum requirements for the 13–15 and 10–12 bands are not met. The criteria for the 7–9 band are exceeded and so a mark at the top of the band is received.

Example 6

Task	Communication
1	✓ ✓
2	W
3	✓ ?
4	✓
5	Op

Photo card mark:

9

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 7-9 band.

- Four questions are answered (the minimum requirement is three). All of them contain clear information, although there is also part of a response which cannot be understood on one occasion.
- One answer is developed with two ✓s.
- There is one opinion.

There is one occasion where the information put forward cannot be understood, but four, rather than the minimum three, questions contain clear information. On balance, the mark of 9 at the top of the band is merited.

Example 7

Task	Communication
1	? ?
2	✓ ✓ Op
3	? ?
4	✓ ✓
5	Op J

Photo card mark:

9

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 7–9 band.

- There are understandable answers to three questions.
- At least one answer is developed with two ✓s.
- There is at least one opinion.

There are two ✓s (or valid substitutes) in three responses whilst the responses to the other two questions contain some information but this is exclusively unclear, denoted by the use of the ? symbol. Therefore, only the responses to three questions contain clear information.

The criteria for the 7–9 band are exceeded and so a mark at the top of the band is awarded.

Example 8

Task	Communication
1	✓ ✓ -
2	? ?
3	Op J
4	W
5	-

Photo card mark:

7

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 7–9 band.

- Three questions have understandable answers.
- One answer is developed with two ✓s.
- There is one opinion.

There is one answer which is incomprehensible and one where an incorrect answer is given. Of the three valid responses, the last question is answered very briefly without a verb so a mark at the bottom of the 7–9 band is appropriate.

Example 9

Task	Communication
1	✓
2	X
3	✓
4	✓
5	W

Photo card mark:

5

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 4–6 band.

- Three questions are answered clearly, although none has any development. The wrong answer is given to the last question and the second question is not answered.

Although there is no lack of clarity in the three answers that are given correctly, the replies are short and so the mid-mark in the band is awarded.

Example 10

Task	Communication
1	-
2	✓
3	X
4	X
5	X

Photo card mark:

2

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 1–3 band.

- Two questions (the minimum is one for this band) are answered clearly, although they are short answers, with only one of them including a verb.
- Three of the questions have no response.

Most (ie three) questions must be answered understandably in order to access the 4–6 band and this is not the case here. As the answers given are extremely short, the mid-mark in the 1–3 band is awarded.

Example 11

Task	Communication
1	-
2	?
3	X
4	? ?
5	X

Photo card mark:

1

Attempts are made to answer three questions, but only the first one has a reply that is understandable, and that question is answered giving a minimal amount of information. However, something is conveyed and one mark is awarded.

Appendix 5 – Role-plays – General Principles

Here are some commonly-made errors and omissions. In order to ensure a standard approach, see the third column for the marks to award.

The type and frequency of error will also impact on the global mark you award for Knowledge and use of language [KUL].

OAR = Otherwise appropriate response

	Type of error or omission	Mark (0/1/2)
1	Only one detail is given when two are required. [Message is partially conveyed]	1 mark
2	Opinion is given but without a reason when this is required. [Message is partially conveyed]	1 mark
3	Present tense is used with a past time marker. Eg <i>W zeszłym roku gram w tenisa.</i> [Message is conveyed with some ambiguity]	1 mark
4	Wrong tense is used with no correct timer marker. Eg <i>Gdzie spędzasz wakacje? → Udałem się do Polski.</i> [Message is conveyed with some ambiguity]	1 mark
5	No auxiliary verb is used in a compound tense. Eg <i>Jutro czytać książkę.</i> [Message is conveyed with some ambiguity]	1 mark
6	Wrong conjugation type or incorrect verb is used. Eg <i>My lubimy lody.</i> <i>Jestem osiemnaście lat.</i> [Message is conveyed with some ambiguity]	1 mark
7	When requesting an item in a formal role-play, no verb is used but <i>proszę</i> is included. Eg <i>Mapę, proszę.</i>	2 marks
8	Wrong order of the words is used in the sentence. Eg <i>Kupić chcę na wakacje walizkę jutro.</i> [Message is conveyed with some ambiguity]	1 mark
9	An incorrect form of address is used in the ? task in OAR.	2 marks
10	In the ? task, the prompt word(s) is (are) used in combination with a preposition, possessive or indefinite pronouns and an appropriate intonation. Eg • ? Cena. → <i>A cena?</i> • ? Ulubiona uroczystość. → <i>Twoja ulubiona uroczystość?</i> • ? Oferty specjalne. → <i>Jakieś oferty specjalne?</i>	1 mark
11	In the ? task, the prompt word(s) is (are) simply lifted and used, even if with a questioning intonation.	0 marks
12	An incorrect adjectival agreement or gender is used. Eg <i>Kasia jest miły.</i>	2 marks
13	An incorrect case form of noun, pronoun, adjective or numeral is used. Eg <i>Idę z ulubiony kolega.</i> [Message is conveyed with some ambiguity]	1 mark
14	Wrong comparative or superlative formation of adjective is used. Eg <i>Ten telefon jest dobrzejszy.</i> (wrong superlative formation of adjective with irregular gradation) <i>Ten dom jest bardziej nowocześniejszy.</i> (wrong method of formation superlative)	1 mark

15	<p>The pronoun used in a task is lifted and used in the candidate's response. Eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Twoja szkoła (jeden szczegół). → Twoja szkoła jest duża.</i> 	0 marks
16	<p>The pronoun used in a task is lifted and used in the candidate's response but there is additional, valid, information too.</p> <p>Cand's cue: Twoje liceum (dwa szczegóły)</p> <p>Cand's response: <i>Twoje liceum jest duże i nauczyciele są dobrzy.</i></p> <p>or:</p> <p>Cand's cue: Twoje liceum – opinia i dlaczego.</p> <p>Cand's response: <i>Lubię twoje liceum, bo jest nowoczesne.</i></p> <p>[Credit the second part of the answer]</p>	1 mark

Appendix 6 – Photo cards – Guidance on paraphrasing

When considering any paraphrasing of Photo card questions, the exact original meaning of the question must be maintained. This can be achieved through the substitution of an individual word with a synonym or, in some cases, the use of a different language structure. However, no additional elements, which aim to clarify the question further, are permitted.

Here are some examples of typical question structures and what changes are permissible. In addition to these, further guidance may be given relating to specific questions in a particular examination series.

	Original question wording	Allow	Reject
1	<i>Co ostatnio robiłeś w szkole?</i>	Use the perfective in place of the imperfective: <i>Co ostatnio zrobiłeś w szkole?</i>	Use a different past time marker: <i>Co wczoraj robiłeś w szkole?</i>
2	<i>Jakie są zalety pracy charytatywnej?</i>	A change to the language which retains the same meaning: <i>Jakie są zalety pracy wolontaryjnej?</i>	Addition of an extra element: <i>Jakie są zalety pracy charytatywnej; podaj przykłady.</i>
3	<i>Czy chciałbyś/chciałabyś pracować za granicą?</i>	A change to the language which retains the same meaning: <i>Czy chciałbyś/chciałabyś pracować w innym kraju?</i>	Addition of an extra element: <i>Czy chciałbyś/chciałabyś pracować za granicą, na przykład w Hiszpanii?</i>
4	<i>Co myślisz/uważasz na temat bezrobocia?</i>	A change to the language which retains the same meaning: <i>Jaka jest Twoja opinia na temat bezrobocia?</i>	Addition of an extra element: <i>Jaka jest Twoja opinia, pozytywna czy negatywna, na temat bezrobocia?</i>

GCSE Polish Speaking Foundation – Part Two Mark Scheme

Section 1: Role-plays – specific 2024 mark schemes

Foundation Role-play 1				
	Task	2 marks Message conveyed without ambiguity	1 mark Message partially conveyed OR conveyed with some ambiguity	0 marks No part of the message is conveyed
1	Napój.	One type of drink. Verb not required. Eg <i>Poproszę kawę.</i> <i>To może herbatę owocową.</i>	Wrong form that causes a delay in communication. Eg <i>Kawa.</i> <i>Herbatą owocowy.</i>	Nothing conveyed.
2	! Co robisz w Zakopanem?	One detail about staying in Zakopane, with verb(s). Eg <i>Jestem tutaj na feriach zimowych.</i> <i>Przyjechałem z dziewczyną odwiedzić moją babcię Irkę.</i>	One detail about staying in Zakopane with no verb or wrong verb form (bear in mind under KUL). Eg <i>Wizytuję babcię Irkę.</i>	Message not communicated or wrong person of verb. Eg <i>Ona jest tutaj na feriach zimowych.</i>
3	Czas pobytu – jeden szczegół.	One detail about the length of stay. Verb not required. Eg <i>Zostaję tutaj do końca tygodnia.</i> <i>Tylko na weekend.</i>	Grammatical inaccuracy that causes a delay in communication. Eg <i>Zostaję tutaj z końcem tygodnia.</i> <i>Na troje dni.</i>	Message not communicated.
4	Ulubione zajęcie z przyjaciółmi w górach i dlaczego.	One favourite activity with friends in the mountains with appropriate reason with verb(s). Eg <i>Najbardziej lubię chodzić z przyjaciółmi po górskich szlakach, bo uwielbiam aktywny wypoczynek.</i> <i>Z przyjaciółmi najczęściej jeżdżę na nartach, bo kochamy sporty zimowe.</i>	One element only, with or without verb. Eg <i>Najbardziej lubię chodzić z przyjaciółmi po górskich szlakach.</i> One activity with appropriate reason without a verb. Eg. <i>Na nartach, bo super sport.</i>	Neither part of the message is conveyed.
5	? Plany na wieczór kolegi / koleżanki.	Any clearly understandable question about plans for evening. Must include a verb. Eg <i>Co robisz dzisiaj wieczorem?</i> <i>Masz już plany na wieczór?</i>	Question asked with no verb. Eg <i>A jakie plany na wieczór?</i>	Incomprehensible attempt at question. Eg <i>Planować co?</i> Repetition of the prompt alone: <i>Plany na wieczór?</i>

Foundation Role-play 2				
	Task	2 marks Message conveyed without ambiguity	1 mark Message partially conveyed OR conveyed with some ambiguity	0 marks No part of the message is conveyed
1	Koncert – godzina.	One detail about the time of the concert. Verb not required. Eg <i>Koncert jest o osiemnastej.</i> <i>Około godziny dwudziestej.</i> <i>Dokładnie za dwie godziny.</i>	Wrong form that causes a delay in communication. Eg <i>Koncert będą na osiemnasta.</i> <i>Od godzina dwudziesta jeden.</i>	Poor pronunciation or grammatical inaccuracy in such that nothing is conveyed. Eg <i>Potem.</i>
2	! <i>A jak często chodzisz na koncerty?</i>	Any detail about the frequency of going to the concerts. Verb not required. <i>Raz na trzy miesiące.</i> <i>Chodzę tylko parę razy w roku.</i> <i>To mój pierwszy koncert w życiu!</i>	Grammatical inaccuracy that causes a delay in communication. Eg <i>Dwa raz w trzy miesiące.</i> <i>Trzy w roku.</i>	Message is not communicated.
3	Preferencje muzyczne – jeden szczegół.	One preference in music. Verb not required. Eg <i>Najbardziej lubię słuchać hip hopu.</i> <i>Muzyki klasycznej.</i>	Wrong form that causes a delay in communication. Eg <i>Jazzem.</i>	Nothing conveyed.
4	? Ulubiony piosenkarz kolegi / koleżanki.	Any clearly understandable question about favourite singer. Must include a verb. Eg <i>Jaki jest Twój ulubiony piosenkarz?</i> <i>Kogo najbardziej lubisz słuchać?</i> <i>Którego muzyka jesteś najwierniejszym fanem?</i>	Question asked with wrong verb form or no verb (bear in mind under KUL). Eg <i>Kogo najbardziej lubisz odsłuchać?</i> <i>A Twój ulubiony piosenkarz albo piosenkarka?</i>	Incomprehensible attempt at question. Eg <i>Która piosenkarz?</i> Repetition of the prompt alone: <i>Ulubiony piosenkarz?</i>
5	Koncerty w telewizji – opinia i dlaczego.	Any opinion about concerts on TV with appropriate reason with verb(s). Eg <i>Bardzo je lubię, bo nie muszę wydawać pieniędzy na bilety.</i> <i>Myślę, że to strata czasu, bo nie ma tego klimatu jak na prawdziwym koncercie.</i>	One element only, with or without verb. Eg <i>Bardzo je lubię.</i> <i>Są w porządku.</i> Any opinion with appropriate reason without a verb. Eg. <i>Bez sensu, bo nudne.</i>	Neither part of the message is conveyed.

Foundation Role-play 3				
	Task	2 marks Message conveyed without ambiguity	1 mark Message partially conveyed OR conveyed with some ambiguity	0 marks No part of the message is conveyed
1	Miejsce zamieszkania i numer telefonu.	Two details: place of living and phone number, with the correct use of numbers (bear in mind under KUL when). Verb(s) not required. Eg <i>Mieszkam na ulicy Jaskółczej, a mój numer telefonu to 7882207543.</i> <i>Miejsce zamieszkania to osiedle Piastowskie, a numer - 3657820.</i>	One detail only, with or without verb. Eg <i>Mój numer telefonu to 7882207543.</i> <i>Miejsce zamieszkania to osiedle Piastowskie.</i>	Neither part of the message is conveyed.
2	! Proszę podać Pana / Pani kod pocztowy.	Correctly pronounced post code by using Polish phones and numbers. Eg <i>Mój kod pocztowy to 44-246.</i> <i>Oczywiście – SK6 3EF.</i>	Grammatical inaccuracy that causes a delay in communication. <i>Mój kod pocztowy to dwa razy cztery, dwa, cztery i szóstka.</i>	Grammatical inaccuracy in such that nothing is conveyed.
3	Podróż – miejsce (jeden szczegół).	One detail about journey destination. Verb not required. Eg <i>Chcę jechać do Warszawy.</i> <i>Do centrum miasta.</i> <i>Na osiedle Sikorskiego.</i>	Wrong form that causes a delay in communication. Eg <i>Chce iść na centrum miasta.</i> <i>Ja jecham do Warszawa.</i>	Message not communicated or wrong person. Eg <i>On chce jechać do Warszawy.</i>
4	? Podróż – czas.	Any clearly understandable question about the timing of the journey. Must include a verb (bear in mind under KUL). Eg <i>Jak długo potrwa podróż?</i> <i>O której godzinie będziemy na miejscu?</i> <i>Kiedy Pan tutaj będzie?</i>	Question asked with wrong verb form or no verb (bear in mind under KUL). Eg <i>Jaka długa podróż?</i>	Incomprehensible attempt at question. Eg <i>Ile minie czas podróż?</i> Repetition of the prompt alone: <i>Czas podróż?</i>
5	Korki uliczne – opinia.	Any opinion about traffic jams in the city with verb(s). Eg <i>Naprawdę nie cierpię stać w korkach.</i> <i>Są nie do zniesienia, zwłaszcza w godzinach szczytu.</i>	Wrong form that causes a delay in communication. Eg <i>Nie cierpieć stać w korkach.</i>	Neither part of the message is conveyed.

Foundation Role-play 4				
	Task	2 marks Message conveyed without ambiguity	1 mark Message partially conveyed OR conveyed with some ambiguity	0 marks No part of the message is conveyed
1	Pokój – jaki (dwa szczegóły).	Two details of the room, with verb(s). Eg <i>Chcę zarezerwować jednosobowy pokój z widokiem na centrum miasta.</i> <i>Poproszę dwusobowy pokój na parterze.</i>	Two details of the room, without a verb. Eg <i>Jednosobowy pokój z widokiem na centrum miasta.</i> One details of the room, with or without a verb. Eg <i>Poproszę dwusobowy pokój.</i>	Neither part of the message is conveyed.
2	Data przyjazdu.	One detail of the date of arrival. Verb not required. Eg <i>Poproszę pokój od jutra.</i> <i>Na szesnastego maja.</i>	Grammatical inaccuracy that causes a delay in communication. Eg <i>Z jutra.</i> <i>Na szesznaście maj.</i>	Poor pronunciation or grammatical inaccuracy in such that nothing is conveyed.
3	Rodzaj wyżywienia – jeden szczegół.	One detail about the kind of meals. Verb not required. Eg <i>Poproszę z pełnym wyżywieniem.</i> <i>Tylko śniadania.</i>	Grammatical inaccuracy that causes a delay in communication. Eg <i>Rodzaj śniadania.</i>	Message is not communicated. Eg <i>Bez.</i>
4	? Cena za nocleg.	Any clearly understandable question about the price of overnight stay. Must include a verb. Eg <i>Ile kosztuje doba hotelowa?</i> <i>A jaka jest cena za noc za ten pokój?</i>	Question asked with wrong verb form or no verb (bear in mind under KUL). Eg <i>Ile płacić za doba hotelowa?</i> <i>A ile za noc za ten pokój?</i>	Incomprehensible attempt at question. Eg <i>Ile cenować za noc?</i> Repetition of the prompt alone: <i>Cena za nocleg?</i>
5	! W jaki sposób chce Pan / Pani zapłacić?	One way of payment, with or without verb(s). Eg <i>Chcę zapłacić kartą kredytową.</i> <i>Najlepiej gotówką.</i> <i>Może przelewem.</i>	Wrong form that causes a delay in communication. Eg <i>Z kartom.</i> <i>Przez gotówka.</i>	Message is not conveyed or wrong person. Eg <i>Ona zapłaci kartą kredytową.</i>

Foundation Role-play 5				
	Task	2 marks Message conveyed without ambiguity	1 mark Message partially conveyed OR conveyed with some ambiguity	0 marks No part of the message is conveyed
1	Nowy kolega – wygląd (jeden szczegół).	One detail about new classmate's appearance, with verb(s). Eg <i>Mój nowy kolega jest bardzo wysoki. Ma piękne niebieskie oczy.</i>	One detail about classmate's appearance with no verb or wrong verb form. Eg <i>Strasznie wysoki. On miała niebieskie oczy.</i>	Nothing conveyed.
2	Osobowość kolegi – jeden szczegół.	One detail about new classmate's personality. Verb not required. Eg <i>Mój nowy kolega jest strasznie nieśmiały. Bardzo grzeczny.</i>	Grammatical inaccuracy that causes a delay in communication. Eg <i>On jest bardzo nieśmielony.</i>	Message is not communicated.
3	! <i>Od kiedy ten kolega jest w Twojej szkole?</i>	One detail about classmate's school starting date. Verb not required. Eg <i>On chodzi do tej szkoły od trzech tygodni. On jest w szkole od zeszłego miesiąca. Od ósmego listopada.</i>	Wrong form that causes a delay in communication. Eg <i>Chodzi do tej szkoły od dwa tygodnie. Od osiem listopad.</i>	Poor pronunciation or grammatical inaccuracy in such that nothing is conveyed. Eg <i>Osiem November.</i>
4	Relacje pomiędzy uczniami – opinia i dlaczego.	One opinion about relationships between students with appropriate reason with verb(s). Eg <i>Relacje pomiędzy uczniami w mojej klasie są świetne, bo wszyscy zawsze sobie pomagają. Nie są zbyt dobre, ponieważ nie wszyscy za sobą przepadają.</i>	One element only, with or without verb. Eg <i>Relacje pomiędzy uczniami w mojej klasie są świetne.</i> Any opinion with appropriate reason without a verb. Eg. <i>Niedobre, bo dużo kłótni.</i>	Message not communicated or wrong person. Eg <i>Relacje pomiędzy uczniami w twojej klasie są świetne.</i>
5	? Szkoła kolegi / koleżanki w Polsce.	Any clearly understandable question about friend's school in Poland. Must include a verb (bear in mind under KUL). Eg <i>A jaka jest Twoja szkoła w Polsce? Co myślisz na temat swojej polskiej szkoły? Dlaczego nie lubisz chodzić do swojej szkoły w Rybniku?</i>	Question asked with wrong verb form or no verb (bear in mind under KUL). Eg <i>A jaka są Twoja szkoła w Polsce? Dlaczego nie lubić swojej szkoły w Rybniku?</i>	Incomprehensible attempt at question. Eg <i>Jaka polskie szkołom?</i> Repetition of the prompt alone: <i>Szkoła w Polsce?</i>

Foundation Role-play 6				
	Task	2 marks Message conveyed without ambiguity	1 mark Message partially conveyed OR conveyed with some ambiguity	0 marks No part of the message is conveyed
1	Praca weekendowa – gdzie (jeden szczegół).	One detail about the weekend job. Verb not required. Eg <i>W weekendy pracuję w kawiarni.</i> <i>W polskiej szkole sobotniej.</i>	Grammatical inaccuracy that causes a delay in communication. Eg <i>W weekendy pracowałam w kafejce.</i> <i>W sklep ze butami.</i>	Message is not conveyed or wrong person. Eg <i>W weekendy on pracuje w kawiarni.</i>
2	! Ile zarabiasz za weekend?	One detail about salary. Verb not required. Eg <i>Zarabiam sześćset złotych za weekend.</i> <i>Od stu do dwustu funtów.</i>	Wrong form that causes a delay in communication. Eg <i>Zarobiłem sześciuset złote.</i> <i>Od sto do dwieście funty.</i>	Poor pronunciation or grammatical inaccuracy in such that nothing is conveyed. Eg <i>Dwie setki.</i>
3	Transport do pracy – jeden szczegół.	One way of transport, with or without verb(s). Eg <i>Do pracy chodzę piechotą.</i> <i>Najczęściej jeżdżę tramwajem.</i> <i>Na rowerze.</i>	Wrong form that causes a delay in communication. Eg <i>Do pracy idę z nogi.</i> <i>Na autobusie.</i>	Message is not communicated.
4	Menadżer – opinia i dlaczego.	One opinion about the manager with appropriate reason with verb(s). Eg <i>Sądzę, iż jest w porządku, bo zawsze jest miły.</i> <i>Nie lubię jej, ponieważ często jest w złym nastroju.</i>	One element only, with or without verb. Eg <i>Sądzę, że gość jest w porządku.</i> Any opinion with appropriate reason without a verb. Eg <i>Nie zbyt fajny, bo niemiły.</i>	Neither part of the message is conveyed.
5	? Wymarzony zawód kolegi / koleżanki.	Any clearly understandable question about friend's dream profession. Must include a verb. Eg <i>Jaki jest twój wymarzony zawód?</i> <i>Kim chcesz zostać jak dorośniesz?</i> <i>Gdzie marzysz, aby pracować w przyszłości?</i>	Question asked with no verb. Eg <i>A twój wymarzony zawód?</i>	Incomprehensible attempt at question. Eg <i>A ty zawodowo co?</i> Repetition of the prompt alone: <i>Wymarzony zawód?</i>